G. Mandula, Z. Kis, P. Sinkovicz, and L. Kovács

H.A.S. Research Institute for Solid State Physics and Optics, Konkoly-T. Miklós street 29-33, H-1121 Budapest, Hungary



Abstract

We work out a simple, pulsed pump-probe measurement scheme to measure the homogeneous linewidth of an atomic transition in an inhomogeneously broadened spectral line in a solid state environment. We apply the theory to the ${}^{4}I_{11/2} - {}^{4}I_{15/2}$ optical transition of erbium in LiNbO₃:Er³⁺ crystal. Beside obtaining the homogeneous linewidth, we have estimated the population relaxation time as well.

Introduction



A dopant atom in a crystal expiriences incoherent spectral line broadening due to AC Stark-shift induced by the surrounding atoms and crystal defects.

To design coherent control experiments in doped solids, we have to know the population relaxation T_1 , and the diplole relaxation T_2 times of the involved transitions of the dopant atoms. In many cases $T_2 \ll T_1$ (depends on temperature, external magnetic field).

Model calculation

The Bloch vectors associated with the density matrices of a set of two-level systems are defined as

$$U_{\delta}(t) = \varrho_{eg}(\delta; t) + \varrho_{ge}(\delta; t), \qquad ($$

$$V_{\delta}(t) = i[\varrho_{eg}(\delta; t) - \varrho_{ge}(\delta; t)], \qquad ($$

)

where the index δ denotes the frequency difference between the transition frequency ω_{eg} of the particular atom and some reference frequency ω , $\delta = \omega_{eg} - \omega$.

Our method consists of three subsequent steps:

Writing: If the atoms interact with a monochromatic, nearly resonant field, the dynamics is described by the Bloch equations

$$\dot{U}_{\delta} = -\delta V_{\delta} - \frac{1}{T_2} U_{\delta}, \qquad (2a)$$
$$\dot{V}_{\delta} = \Omega_{\rm w} W_{\delta} + \delta U_{\delta} - \frac{1}{-1} V_{\delta}, \qquad (2b)$$

$$V_{\delta} = -\Omega_{\rm w} V_{\delta} - \frac{1}{T_1} (W_{\delta} + 1) , \qquad (2$$

where $\omega = \omega_{\rm W}$. The Rabi frequency $\Omega_{\rm W}$ is given by $\Omega_{\rm W}$ = $-d_{eg}E_{\rm w}/\hbar$, where d_{eg} denotes the dipole moment of the atomic transition, $E_{\rm w}$ describes the pump (write \equiv w) field strength. After a long enough time, the atoms reach a steady state

$$U_{\delta}(0) = \frac{\Omega_{\rm W}\delta}{\delta^2 + \frac{1}{T_2^2} + \frac{T_1}{T_2}\Omega_{\rm W}^2},$$

$$V_{\delta}(0) = -\frac{\Omega_{\rm W}/T_2}{\delta^2 + \frac{1}{T_2} + \frac{T_1}{T_2}\Omega_{\rm W}^2},$$
(3)

Susceptibility The susceptibility of the ensemble of the atoms is obtained from $U_{\delta}(t_d + \tau)$ and $V_{\delta}(t_d + \tau)$ by summing up for all subset of atoms with transition frequency difference δ . The sum should be weighted by the inhomogeneous line profile function $g(\delta)$

$$\chi^{+}(\Delta) = -\mathcal{N} \frac{|d_{eg}|^2}{\varepsilon_0 \hbar} \int g(\delta) \frac{(\Delta - \delta) + i/T_2}{(\Delta - \delta)^2 + \frac{1}{T_2^2}} W_{\delta}(t_d) \, d\delta \,, \tag{5}$$

where \mathcal{N} is the density of the two-state atoms participating in the process. The integral can be performed via application of the Fourier and inverse Fourier transforms

$$\chi^{+}(\Delta) = \frac{\mathcal{N}|d_{eg}|^2}{\varepsilon_0 \hbar} \pi g(0) \left[\frac{\frac{T_1}{T_2} \Omega_{\rm w}^2 \Delta}{\Gamma\left((\frac{1}{T_2} + \Gamma)^2 + \Delta^2\right)} + i \left(1 - \frac{\frac{T_1}{T_2} \Omega_{\rm w}^2(\frac{1}{T_2} + \Gamma)}{\Gamma\left((\frac{1}{T_2} + \Gamma)^2 + \Delta^2\right)} e^{-t_d/T_1} \right) \right],\tag{6}$$

where $\Gamma^2 = \frac{1}{T_2^2} + \frac{T_1}{T_2}\Omega_w^2$. The imaginary part of the susceptibility in Eq. (6) describes a spectral hole.

Here the quantity $(T_1/T_2)\Omega_w^2$ corresponds to power broadening. For a low intensity pump (write) pulse the result of the convolution can be expanded, in lowest order of Ω^2_w one finds

$$\operatorname{Im}(\chi^{+}(\Delta)) = \frac{\mathcal{N}|d_{eg}|^{2}}{\varepsilon_{0}\hbar} \pi g(0) \left(1 - \frac{2\frac{T_{1}}{T_{2}}\Omega_{w}^{2}}{\Delta^{2} + \frac{4}{T_{2}^{2}}}e^{-t_{d}/T_{1}}\right).$$
(7)













Delay: All fields are switched off for a time t_d . The system undergoes free evolution. For $T_2 \ll t_d$ we have $U_{\delta}(t_d) = 0$, $V_{\delta}(t_d) = 0$, and $W_{\delta}(t_d) = (1 + W_{\delta}(0)) \exp(-t_d/T_1) - 1$.

Read out: A short read out pulse probes the atoms. It is assumed that the length of the probe pulse au satisfies the relation $T_2 \ll$ $\tau \ll T_1$. Then the population relaxation does not take place, but the dipole relaxation does. As a result $W_{\delta}(t_d + \tau) \approx W_{\delta}(t_d)$ and

$$\begin{split} U_{\delta}(t_d + \tau) &= -\frac{(\Delta - \delta)\Omega_p}{(\Delta - \delta)^2 + \frac{1}{T_2^2}} W_{\delta}(t_d) \,, \end{split} \tag{4a} \\ V_{\delta}(t_d + \tau) &= \frac{\Omega_p/T_2}{(\Delta - \delta)^2 + \frac{1}{T_2^2}} W_{\delta}(t_d) \,, \end{aligned} \tag{4b}$$

where Δ is the detuning of the probe pulse from the frequency of the pump (write) pulse.

Outlook:

The erbium ions are not true two-level systems. The ${}^{4}I_{11/2}$ form of the exponential decay in t_d changes in a more realystic three-level model. In a three-level model the shape of the absorption line does not change in the weak field limit.

$\circ - - {}^{4}I_{15/2}$

Future plans:

1. repeat the measurement with AOM aplitude control instead of Z-scan

5000

4000

- 2. photon-echo measuremet
- 3. CW pump-probe measurements
- 4. demonstration of coherent pulse propagation effects

5. ...

This work has been supported by the Research Fund of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences (OTKA) K60086.